Kernel Malware Analysis with Un-tampered and Temporal Views of Dynamic Kernel Memory

*Junghwan Rhee, *Ryan Riley, *Dongyan Xu, **Xuxian Jiang
* Department of Computer Science, Purdue University
* Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Qatar University
** Department of Computer Science, North Carolina State University





Outline

- Background
- Allocation-driven mapping
- Evaluation
- Discussion
- Conclusion
- Demo

Kernel malware

- Kernel malware attacks operating system kernels.
 - e.g., kernel rootkits
- Attack goals
 - Hide processes, files, etc.
 - Provide hidden services, backdoors, etc.
- Attack techniques
 - Hijack system services (e.g., system calls)
 - Directly manipulate kernel data (DKOM)
 - Hijack hooks by overwriting function pointers (KOH)

User applications



Operating system kernel



3

Kernel malware

- Kernel malware attacks operating system kernels.
 - e.g., kernel rootkits
- Attack goals
 - Hide processes, files, etc.
 - Provide hidden services, backdoors, etc.
- Attack techniques
 - Hijack system services (e.g., system calls)
 - Directly manipulate kernel data (DKOM)
 - Hijack hooks by overwriting function pointers (KOH)

User applications





Operating system kernel

Kernel memory mapping

- Kernel memory mapping has been used for kernel integrity checking and kernel malware detection.
- Existing approaches
 - Type-projection mapping: kernel objects identification by recursively traversing pointers from global objects
 - Static: memory snapshots as input
 - Dynamic: memory traces as input



Related work

- Type-projection mapping using memory snapshots
 - SBCFI [CCS 2007]
 - Gibraltar [ACSAC 2008]
 - KOP [CCS 2009]
- Type-projection mapping using memory traces
 - Rkprofiler [RAID 2009]
 - PoKeR [Eurosys 2009]





Type-projection mapping



Type-projection mapping



Challenge : Memory manipulation



Challenge : Asynchronous mapping



Malware analysis using an asynchronous mapping

- X1, X2, and X3 : kernel objects allocated in the same address with the same data type.
- A malware analyzer based on asynchronous mapping may not be able to differentiate X1, X2, and X3.

Challenge : Asynchronous mapping



Malware analysis using an asynchronous mapping

- X1, X2, and X3 : kernel objects allocated in the same address with the same data type.
- A malware analyzer based on asynchronous mapping may not be able to differentiate X1, X2, and X3.

Challenge : Asynchronous mapping



Malware analysis using an asynchronous mapping

- X1, X2, and X3 : kernel objects allocated in the same address with the same data type.
- A malware analyzer based on asynchronous mapping may not be able to differentiate X1, X2, and X3.

Our solution: Allocation-driven mapping

- Kernel objects are identified by transparently capturing kernel memory function calls.
- The memory ranges are extracted from function arguments and return values.
- Call stack information (allocation call site) is used to derive data types.
 - * An memory allocation call site: code address of a memory allocation call





Allocation-driven mapping



Lifetime of a dynamic kernel object

Allocation-driven mapping



Lifetime of a dynamic kernel object

Advantages

- Un-tampered view
 - Tolerant to the manipulation of memory content

Allocation-driven mapping



Lifetime of a dynamic kernel object

Advantages

- Un-tampered view
 - Tolerant to the manipulation of memory content
- Temporal view
 - Lifetime of dynamic data is tracked to differentiate objects at the same memory location









Techniques : Type derivation



Implementation

- LiveDM : Live Dynamic kernel memory Map
- Supported guest OS kernels
 - Redhat 8, Debian Sarge, Fedora Core 6
- Virtual machine monitor : QEMU
- Knowledge of kernel memory functions is assumed.
- Type resolution
 - Debugging symbols for translation of allocation call sites
 - Modified gcc compiler to extract code elements

Evaluation

- Effectiveness
- Performance
- Applications
 - Hidden object detector (un-tampered view)
 - Temporal malware behavior monitor (temporal view)

Evaluation : Identifying objects

	Type r	esolution		→ T instant ta Type Case #Object		
Α ———	/ 1	→ D	→ T			
Call Site	Declarati	on	Data Type			
kernel/fork_c:248	kernel,	fork.c:243	task_struct	1	66	
	fe/erei	101K.C:/95	signand_struct	1	0.5	
H kernel/fork c.019	LS/exec	(fork 0.012	signand_struct	1	66	
arch/i206/mm/pgtable_gr220	kerner/	101K.C1813	signal sciuce	2	5.1	
kernel/fork a.422	arch/1.	/fork a.431	pga_c	-	47	
kernel/fork.c.433	kernel	/fork 0.526	mmestruct	1		
kernel/fork c.314	kernel	/fork c. 271	Im area etruct	1	1.40	
umm/mman_c:923	mm/mmar	01748	um area struct	1	1004	
Z mm/mmap.c.1526	mm/mmar	0.0.1521	um area struct	1	5	
mm/mmap.c:1722	mm/mmar	0.1657	vm_area_struct	1	48	
fa/exec c:402	mm/mmap.c:1657 fs/exec.c:342		vilarea struct	1	40	
kernel/fork_c+677	kernel	fork c:654	files struct	1	54	
kernel/fork_c:597	kernel/fork_c:597		fastruct	2	53	
fs/file table c:76	fs/file	table.c.69	file	ĩ	531	
fs/buffer.c:3062	fs/buffer.c:3062		buffer head	2	828	
E for (black days a 200	6-12-2-		bdev inode	2	5	
		:689	dentry	1	4203	
		e.c:107	inode	1	1209	
		space.c:55	vfsmount	2	16	
llocation statement		/inode.c:90	proc_inode	1	237	
ornol/fork a		/block/ll_rw_blk.c:1405	request_queue_t	2	18	
erner/lork.c		/block/ll_rw_blk.c:2945	io_context	1	10	
		ket.c:278	socket_alloc	1	12	
		e/sock.c:613	sock	1	3	
48 tsk =		e.dst.c:119	dst_entry	1	5	
		e/neighbour.c:254	neighbour	1	1	
kmem_cache_alloc(.);	4/tcp_ipv4.c:133	tcp_bind_bucket	2	4	
		4/fib_hash.c:461	fib_node	1	9	

Kernel Malware Analysis with Un-tampered and Temporal Views of Dynamic Kernel Memory

(•

Evaluation : Identifying objects



Evaluation : Identifying objects



Evaluation : Type resolution



 Manual analysis: convert allocation call sites to data types (similar to validation methods of KOP [Carbone et. al., CCS 2009] and Laika [Cozzie et. al., OSDI 2008])

Evaluation : Performance

Benchmarks

- Kernel compile, UnixBench, nbench
- Overhead
 - Slowdown compared to unmodified QEMU (worst in benchmarks): 42% for Linux 2.4, 125% for Linux 2.6
 - Mainly caused by the capture of dynamic objects
 - Near-zero overhead for CPU-intensive benchmarks
- Non-production application scenarios
 - Honeypot, malware profiling, kernel debugging

An application of the un-tampered view







- Hidden object detector
 - Periodic comparison of an allocation-driven map and memory content

An application of the un-tampered view







- Hidden object detector
 - Periodic comparison of an allocation-driven map and memory content

An application of the un-tampered view

Rootkit	I = S	Ma	nipulated Data	Operating	Attack
Name		Type	Field	System	Vector
hide_1km	# of hidden modules	module	next	Redhat 8	/dev/kmem
fuuld	# of hidden PCBs	task_struct	next_task, prev_task	Redhat 8	/dev/kmem
cleaner	# of hidden modules	module	next	Redhat 8	LKM
modhide	# of hidden modules	module	next	Redhat 8	LKM
hp 1.0.0	# of hidden PCBs	task_struct	next_task, prev_task	Redhat 8	LKM
linuxfu	# of hidden PCBs	task_struct	next_task, prev_task	Redhat 8	LKM
modhide1	1 (rootkit self-hiding)	module	next	Redhat 8	LKM
kis 0.9 (server)	1 (rootkit self-hiding)	module	next	Redhat 8	LKM
adore-ng-2.6	1 (rootkit self-hiding)	module	e list.next,list.prevDebi		LKM
ENYELKM 1.1	1 (rootkit self-hiding)	module	list.next,list.prev	ev Debian Sarge LKM	

Hidden object detector

- Periodic comparison of an allocation-driven map and memory content
- IO kernel rootkits are tested and all detected.
- Agnostic to the injection of malware code
- Non-code injection attacks (hide_lkm and fuuld) are detected.

- Temporal Malware Behavior Monitor
 - Systematically visualize malware influence via the manipulation of dynamic kernel memory
 - Steps



- Temporal Malware Behavior Monitor
 - Systematically visualize malware influence via the manipulation of dynamic kernel memory

Runtime Id	lentification	Offline Data Type Interpretation		
Call Site	Offset	Type / Object (Static, Module object) Field		
fork.c:610	0x4,12c,130	task_struct	flags, uid, euid	
fork.c:610	0x134,138,13c	task_struct	suid, fsuid, gid	
fork.c:610	0x140,144,148	task_struct	egid, sgid, fsgid	
fork.c:610	0x1d0	task_struct 3	cap_effective	
fork.c:610	0x1d4	task_struct	cap_inheritable	
fork.c:610	0x1d8	task_struct	cap_permitted	
generic.c:436	0x20	proc_dir_entry	get_info	
(Static	object)	proc_root_inode_operations	lookup	
(Static	object)	proc_root_operations	readdir	
(Static	object)	unix_dgram_ops	recvmsg	
(Modul	e object)	ext3_dir_operations	readdir	
(Module	e object)	ext3_file_operations	write	

The list of kernel objects manipulated by adore-ng rootkit



	I	I		
Time	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.1
instructions)	(Billions of			



I	Г	1	1	I
Time	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.1
instructions)	(Billions of			





Malware analysis is guided to the attack victim objects (e.g., T₃).



Before the rootkit attack

After the rootkit attack

Kernel object maps

task_struct (PCB) 🔳 proc_dir_entry 🛛 🔳

🔹 kernel modules 📕 rootkit





Before the rootkit attack







Discussions

- Memory objects of 3rd party drivers, malware
 - Source code is required to derive data types.
- Memory aliasing (type casting)
 - Allocation-driven map does not have aliasing problem by avoiding the evaluation of pointers.
 - Allocation using generic pointers : 0.1% of total objects
- Attack cases towards memory functions

Conclusion

- Un-tampered and temporal views of dynamic kernel objects can be enabled for malware analysis.
 - Kernel data hiding attacks can be detected by using an un-tampered view.
 - Temporal view can guide a malware analyzer to attack victim objects by tracking data lifetime.

Demo

- Main technique: Live kernel object map
 - Live status is dumped to a GUI every 5 seconds.
 - Dynamic changes of the map are illustrated.
- Applications: Hidden PCB and module detector
 - HP rootkit hides processes.
 - modhide rootkit hides kernel modules (drivers).
 - Data hiding attacks are checked every 5 seconds.
- URL:

http://www.cs.purdue.edu/homes/rhee/pubs/raid 2010_livedm.avi

 Note: some parts of a video clip are trimmed to reduce its play time. Thank you, Questions?